UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,521	07/25/2003	Tomohisa Konno	240882US0	1125	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		08/06/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/626,521	KONNO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Maurina Rachuba	3723	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the aday Of the above claim(s) 1-6,8,10 and 11 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7,9,12-16 and 18-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine: The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the oath oath of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	e withdrawn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notic 3) Inform Pape	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/25/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/626,521 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-6,8,10, and 11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 17 April 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 7, 9, 14, 15, 18, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Motonari et al, 7, 087,530.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 3723

'530 discloses a process for producing a semiconductor device, comprising: the step of polishing a surface to be polished of a semiconductor material with an aqueous dispersion for chemical mechanical polishing comprising abrasive grains and a heterocyclic compound; wherein: the abrasive grains comprise: (A) simple particles comprising at least one selected from the group consisting of inorganic particles and organic particles; and (B) composite particles; and wherein the composite particles (B) are composed of inorganic organic composite particles obtained by integrally combining organic particles with inorganic particles, see column 5, lines 24 through column 6, lines 67; the heterocyclic compound comprises at least one member selected from the group consisting of a quinoline carboxylic acid, an indolizine, a compound having a 5membered heterocyclic, and a compound having a 6-membered heterocyclic; and the 6membered heterocyclic comprises at least one member selected from the group consisting of 3-amino-5,6-dimethyl- 1,2,4-triazine, 2,4-diamino-6-diallylamino- 1,3,5triazine, 3-amino-5,6-dimethyl-1,2,4-triazine, benzoguanamine, thiocyanuric acid, melamine, phthalazine, and 2,3-dicvano-5-methylpyrazine, see column 4, lines 27-57, in the claimed range, see column 5, lines 16-23. Note that the composite particles are inorganic particles adhered through electrostatic force to core organic particles. '530 discloses an overall particle size of between 0.001- 3 μm, or between 1 and 3000 nm, which includes any abrasive particle, simple or composite. '530 discloses the use of organic acid, such as malonic or succinic acid, see column 7, lines 48-62.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,521 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 12, 13, 16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Motonari et al, 7, 087,530.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/626,521

Art Unit: 3723

in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Page 5

'530 does not explicitly disclose the various proportions of particle types, by weight or mass, or the % by mass of the organic acid. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided '530 with the claimed ranges, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Note that the specific heterocyclic compounds now claimed are new issues, not previously claimed or considered, and have required new search and consideration.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/626,521

Art Unit: 3723

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurina Rachuba whose telephone number is 571 272
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/626,521

Art Unit: 3723

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723 Page 7